Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/02/28: CIA-RDP92T00277R000300020003-9 STAT

Lile: Nº 11-7-85

THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

National Intelligence Council

26 October 1984

NOTE FOR: Jay Keyworth

I want to thank you very much for providing to me the thoughtful comments on the Concept Paper for the report on the "Future of Soviet Sciences." These comments are very helpful to us and I assure you that your questions will be addressed in the work that we are doing. I would like to keep you informed as the project proceeds so that we may continue to benefit from your ideas.

Thank you as always for your help and I look forward to seeing you again very soon.

Julian C. Nall NIO for S&T

25 OCT 198

STAT

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

fue: Nie 11-7-85

October 15, 1984

Dear Julian:

I have examined both the concept paper on "The Future of Soviet Science", and the description of the fields to be covered in the Soviet Science NIE that you have given me for examination and comment; and believe they address both areas appropriately. There are, however, a few points that I would like to stress which will be of great interest to the policy level consumer.

Specifically, I think that defining and understanding the Soviet science base as it now exists and as we see it developing over the next decade is important. But it is also important that we devote sufficient effort to making an adequate appraisal of the Soviet process of adapting science to technology; and understanding the full technological, political, and military relevance of the Soviet science base.

The impact of Soviet science and technology policy on national security is a problem I struggle with on a daily basis. The governmental system produces a directed economy with limited emphasis on the commercial sector and great emphasis on the defense sector. The lack of consumer goods and the abundance of military systems demonstrates this emphasis. Assuming that the Soviets desire, as they clearly demonstrate, to obtain defense leverage from the application of science to defense technology, I would suggest that you specifically consider the following questions in your research and subsequent reporting:

- How do the Soviets develop national goals for science and technology policy (i.e., what is the decision process)?
- What are these goals?
- How do they implement these goals?
- What is the institutional structure which allocates resources to science and technology?

- What are their investment strategies?
- What means are used to ensure that basic science is transitioned into technological leverage?
- How does the science community, both research and academic, interrelate with the bureaucracy of government and the industrial sector?

This top level downward approach to Soviet science and technology policy is the analog to the vision I have of a more focused effort for the allocation of research within our own government.

Finally if we look backward from the military operational requirements arena through the industrial base and on into the technology arena and science base, can we identify some of the motivations and drivers that guide the Soviet's investment strategy in scientific research.

I look forward to the results of your efforts as an important input to the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) and the United States policy making community, and hope you find my comments useful.

Sincerely

for Mywarth

G. A. Keyworth

Science Advisor to the President

Dr. Julian Nall
National Intelligence Officer
for Science and Technology
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505